
Thailand's Uncertainty Assessment

Savitri Garivait, *JGSEE*

WGIA 7

**July 7-10, 2009
Mayfield Hotel
Seoul, Korea**

What are we doing? How do we process? ... (1)

- Conducting the GHGs emission inventory for the Second National Communication (SNC)
- Uncertainty assessment is based on a simplified method of determining data source of uncertainties.
 - Assumptions and methods
 - Input Data (Activity Data and Emission Factors)
 - Calculation errors
- Currently: uncertainty assessment for each key category included in the inventory
- Next step: uncertainty assessment for each sector and then for the entire inventory

What are we doing? How do we process? ... (2)

■ Source of data

- ❑ National statistics agencies, international organizations publishing statistics (e.g. IEA, OECD, etc.)
- ❑ Sectorial experts, stakeholder organizations, national experts, international experts
- ❑ IPCC Database
- ❑ Reference libraries (national and university libraries), scientific and technical books journals, articles in environmental books, and reports.
- ❑ Web search for organizations & specialists
- ❑ National Inventory Reports from Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
- ❑ Others

Preliminary lessons learnt ... (1)

- In many cases empirical data are not available, and so need to use well-informed judgments from experts
- Possible biases: availability bias, representativeness bias, anchoring and adjustment bias, motivational bias, managerial bias...
- However, using formal expert elicitation protocols DID NOT ALWAYS solve the problem! => Solution: well-documented data in order to constrain expert judgments

Preliminary lessons learnt ... (2)

■ Example of using expert judgment for waste sector

| Industrial Wastewater | Exp1 | Unc. (%) | Exp2 | Unc. (%) | Exp3 | Unc. (%) | Exp4 | Unc. (%) | Exp5 | Unc. (%) | Exp6 | Unc. (%) | Exp7 | Unc. (%) | Exp8 | Unc. (%) | Exp9 | Unc. (%) | Ave MCF | SD (Unc. (%)) |
|--|------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|-------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|---------|---------------|
| MCF for Anaerobic covered lagoon Technologies | 0.8 | | 0.8 | | 0.6 | | 0.75 | | 0.6 | | 0.7 | | 0.6 | 50 | 0.8 | 70 | 1 | 100 | 0.71 | 11.51 |
| MCF for Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (UASB) Technologies | 0.9 | | 0.8 | | 0.6 | | 0.85 | | 0.8 | | 0.85 | | 0.8 | 50 | 0.8 | 80 | 0.9 | 100 | 0.80 | 12.48 |
| MCF for Anaerobic Filter Technologies | 0.8 | | 0.8 | | 0.6 | | 0.85 | | 0.6 | | 0.75 | | 0.8 | 50 | 0.8 | 80 | 0.9 | 100 | 0.73 | 12.45 |
| MCF for Anaerobic Tank Technologies | 0.8 | | 0.8 | | 0.5 | | 0.7 | | 0.6 | | 0.7 | | 0.7 | 50 | 0.8 | 80 | 0.9 | 100 | 0.68 | 12.41 |
| MCF for Anaerobic Pond Technologies | 0.6 | | 0.6 | | 0.5 | | 0.65 | | 0.4 | | 0.6 | | 0.3 | 50 | 0.3 | 70 | 0.8 | 100 | 0.56 | 11.34 |
| MCF for Anaerobic digester Technologies | 0.8 | | 0.8 | | 0.6 | | 0.8 | | 0.6 | | 0.75 | | 0.7 | 50 | 0.8 | 80 | 0.9 | 100 | 0.73 | 12.43 |
| MCF for Septic Tank Technologies | 0.4 | | 0.6 | | 0.4 | | 0.5 | | 0.3 | | 0.7 | | 0.4 | 50 | 0.5 | 80 | 0.9 | 100 | 0.52 | 13.44 |
| MCF for Stabilization Pond Technologies | 0.2 | | 0.3 | | 0.2 | | 0.35 | | 0.4 | | 0.25 | | 0.1 | 50 | 0.5 | 70 | 0.7 | 90 | 0.28 | 11.18 |
| MCF for Polishing Pond Technologies | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0.3 | | 0.3 | | 0 | 50 | 0.2 | 60 | 0.4 | 90 | 0.12 | 10.12 |
| MCF for Aerated Lagoon | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0.25 | | 0 | 50 | 0.1 | 50 | 0.2 | 100 | 0.08 | 9.16 |
| MCF for Activated Sludge | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0.15 | | 0 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 0.1 | 100 | 0.04 | 13.67 |
| Oxidation Ditch Technologies | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0.25 | | 0.2 | | 0.2 | 50 | 0.1 | 80 | 0.3 | 90 | 0.09 | 11.92 |
| MCF for Constructed Wetland Technologies | 0.4 | | 0.2 | | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0.25 | | 0.2 | | 0.1 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 0.2 | 90 | 0.19 | 13.77 |
| MCF for Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) Technologies | 0.1 | | 0.3 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0.2 | | 0.1 | 50 | 0 | 80 | 0.1 | 90 | 0.10 | 11.89 |
| MCF for Dissolved air floatation | | | | | 0 | | 0 | | | | 0.05 | | 0 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0.02 | 18.76 |
| MCF for ฝายพังกาน้ำเสีย | | | | | 0 | | 0 | | 0.3 | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | 50 | 0 | 80 | 0.4 | 90 | 0.10 | 14.54 |
| MCF for ฝายเก็บน้ำเสีย | | | | | 0.1 | | 0.35 | | 0.2 | | 0.05 | | 0.1 | 50 | 0.1 | 80 | 0.4 | 90 | 0.18 | 14.59 |
| Domestic wastewater | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MCF for Stabilization Pond Technologies | 0.2 | | 0.2 | | 0 | | 0.4 | | 0.2 | | 0.25 | | 0.1 | 50 | 0.2 | 80 | 0.5 | 90 | 0.21 | 12.00 |
| MCF for Oxidation Ditch, | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0.2 | | 0.1 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 0.1 | 90 | 0.07 | 13.69 |
| MCF for Aerated Lagoon | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0.15 | | 0 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 0.1 | 90 | 0.06 | 13.68 |
| MCF for Activated Sludge Technologies | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0.03 | 13.65 |
| MCF for Contact Stabilization Activated Sludge (CSAS) Technologies | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0.08 | | 0 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 90 | 0.03 | 13.65 |
| MCF for Two-Stage Activated Sludge Process Technologies | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0 | | | | 0 | | 0.07 | | 0.1 | 50 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 100 | 0.03 | 15.57 |
| MCF for Combination of Fixed Activated Sludge (CFFAS) Technologies | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0 | | | | 0 | | 0.075 | | 0.1 | 50 | 0.1 | 80 | 0.1 | 90 | 0.04 | 13.05 |
| MCF for Rotating Biological Contractor (RBC) Technologies | 0.1 | | 0.2 | | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | 0.075 | | 0.1 | 50 | 0.1 | 80 | 0.2 | 90 | 0.10 | 11.91 |
| MCF for Constructed Wetland Technologies | 0.4 | | 0.2 | | 0 | | 0.1 | | 0.2 | | 0.15 | | 0.2 | 50 | 0.2 | 80 | 0.2 | 90 | 0.18 | 11.97 |
| MCF for Anaerobic filter (AF) Technologies | 0.8 | | 0.7 | | 0.6 | | 0.85 | | 0.6 | | 0.8 | | 0.3 | 50 | 0.8 | 80 | 0.8 | 100 | 0.73 | 12.39 |
| MCF for septic Tank | 0.4 | | 0.6 | | 0.5 | | 0.5 | | 0.4 | | 0.85 | | 0.4 | 50 | 0.6 | 80 | 0.8 | 100 | 0.54 | 12.28 |

Preliminary lessons learnt ... (3)

- Even simple uncertainty estimates give useful information
- Good QA/QC and careful consideration of methods can improve representativeness of the data (reduce uncertainty)
- Assessment of uncertainty in the input parameters should be part of the standard data collection QA/QC
- For simple estimate: use of “Approach 1” is generally sufficient to get useful information for better understanding in source and sink

Next steps ... (1)

- For uncertainty assessment of each sector and the entire inventory: use of error propagation method for combining uncertainty = choice for Tier 1
- Wherever possible Monte Carlo approach can be applied, i.e. PDF available, it will be tested and adopted if it enables a better understanding of source and sink and of the entire inventory uncertainty.